

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,234	03/01/2002	Ajay Kumar	5681-11700	6950	
7590 07/19/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Robert C Kow	ert		HWANG,	JOON H	
Conley Rose &	Tayon P C				
P O Box 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 78767-0398			2166		
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006	DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/087,234	KUMAR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joon H. Hwang	2166		

			2100	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
THE RE	PLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.	
this pla (3)	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or os application, applicant must timely file one of the folloces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nota Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compowing time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) ir	affidavit, or other evid a compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	=		
b) 🖂	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.	
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
been filed CFR 1.17 above, if c earned pa	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a (a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statected. Any reply received by the Office later than three month tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extensi e final Office action; or (2	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b)
of 1	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ence a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
<u>AMENDI</u>	<u>MENTS</u>			
(a) (b)	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	OTE below);	
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	g the issues for
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.	
	the amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 opticant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
6. 🔲 N	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		e, timely filed amendr	nent canceling
7. 🔯 Fo	non-allowable claim(s). r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) v the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Cla	e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: nim(s) allowed: <u>10,11 and 13-16</u> .			
	nim(s) objected to: nim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,17-26,28-35 and 37</u> .			
Cla	im(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	/IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
bed	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an d was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
ent	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to d owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. 🔲 T	ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	-		
	ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER the request for reconsidered but the request for reconsideration has been considered but the request for reconsidered but the request for reconsidered but the reconsider	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance hecause:
_	<u>.</u>			arioc because.
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ther:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	Throng
			JOO	N HWANG
			111100	CYTHICK

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicants have overcome the 112 rejection for claims 10-11 and 13-16, thus these claims are allowed. In response to the applicants' argument that "the combination of Montero and Goldick would result in Montero's back-end database system that includes application servers maintaining session data for clients as taught by Montero, but also allows clients locked access to a server database, based on providing requesting clients lock tokens, as taught by Goldick", the combination of Montero and Goldick would result in Montero's database system with such locking management capability as taught by Goldick and allowing an application server of Montero locked access to the database system, based on providing requesting the application server a lock token. See "Response to Arguments" and 103 rejections in the Final Office Action mailed on 4/21/06 for any remaining arguments for claims 1-5, 7-8, 17-20, 22-25, and 31-34. The amended limitations, "requesting...by the distributed store" in claim 26, "the process receiving a request by the distributed store..." and "the process releasing...by the distributed store" in claim 35, and "... other threads running within the process..." in claim 21 require further considerations and searches.